

Arizona Supreme Court

Civil Special Action

CV-26-0165-SA

BAKHUTASHVILI v CLERK OF THE ARIZONA SUPREME COURT

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 27-May-2026 Archive on: 1-Jun-2036 (planned)

Case Closed: 1-Jun-2026

Dept/Composition

Side 1. VAKHTANG BAKHUTASHVILI, Petitioner

(Litigant Group) VAKHTANG BAKHUTASHVILI

• Vakhtang Bakhutashvili

PRO SE

Side 2. CLERK OF THE ARIZONA SUPREME COURT, Respondent

(Litigant Group) CLERK OF THE ARIZONA SUPREME COURT

• Arizona Supreme Court Clerk

CASE STATUS

Jun 1, 2026.....Case Closed

Jun 1, 2026.....Decision Rendered

CASE DECISION

01-Jun-2026 ORDER

* On May 27, 2026, Petitioner Vakhtang Bakhutashvili, pro se, filed an "Emergency Petition for Special Action." Petitioner contends that the Clerk of the Court violated "Petitioner's constitutional rights to Due Process and Equal Protection under the Fir

Filed: 01-Jun-2026

Mandate:

Decision Disposition

Declined

Kathryn King

5 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

- 1. 27-May-2026 FILED: Emergency Petition for Special Action; Certificate of Compliance; Cover Sheet; Set #13243048 Summary Sheet (Petitioner Bakhutashvili, Pro Se)
2. 27-May-2026 FILED: Petition - CV-26-0151-SA - Falsification of Court Records May 6; Certificate of Service; Petition - CV-26-0151-SA - Falsification of Court Records May 15; Petition - CV-26-0151-SA - Falsification of Court Records May 19 (Petitioner Bakhutashvili, Pro Se)
3. 27-May-2026 FILED: Appellate Application for Deferral or Waiver of Court Fees and Consent to Entry of Judgment; (Proposed) Appellate Order Regarding Deferral or Waiver of Court Fees; Displaced Person Documents 1; Displaced Person Documents 2 (Petitioner Bakhutashvili, Pro Se)
4. 28-May-2026 FILED: Notice of Service; Certificate of Service; Emergency Petition for Special Action; (Copy of) Order Re Court Fees (CV-26-0151) (Petitioner Bakhutashvili, Pro Se)
5. 1-Jun-2026 On May 27, 2026, Petitioner Vakhtang Bakhutashvili, pro se, filed an "Emergency Petition for Special Action." Petitioner contends that the Clerk of the Court violated "Petitioner's constitutional rights to Due Process and Equal Protection under the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, actionable under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and § 1985," and that the Clerk should "immediately correct the public docket to accurately reflect the original, unadulterated case caption, explicitly restoring and identifying all intended respondents, including the structural entities and the federal patent attorney, whose intentional concealment from the public record and lack of notification directly violates USPTO Rule 37 (37 C.F.R. § 11.37) governing duties of notification and professional conduct." This is in conjunction with Petitioner's Special Action in CV-26-0151-SA.
A panel consisting of Vice Chief Justice Lopez and Justices Beene, Montgomery, and King have reviewed the matter.
The Court notes that Petitioner's claim is that the court docket was impermissibly altered, but there is no allegation that the Clerk altered any document that Petitioner has filed. To the extent Petitioner believes his May 19, 2026 "Motion to Amend Case Caption to Conform to the Electronic Filing Record Generated by AZTurboCourt at Inception," "remains stalled awaiting judicial approval," that matter is pending in the CV-26-0151-SA case and will be addressed in that matter in due course. Therefore,
IT IS ORDERED declining special action jurisdiction. (Hon. Kathryn H. King)